

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.

APPEAL NO.10 OF 2006
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.3988 OF 2005
IN
SUIT NO.2945 OF 2005

Sai Ganesh Properties Pvt.Ltd.	.. Appealnt
v/s.	
Tate Infotech Ltd. and others	.. Respondents

Mr.Pratap Sampat i/by Mr.Vinod Singh for the appellant.

Mr.Zal Andhyarujina i/by M/s.Mulla & Mulla for the respondent No.1.

Mr.Jaydeep Mitra i/by Mr.R.Dias for the respondent No.2.

ALONGWITH

APPEAL NO.20 OF 2006
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1236 OF 2005
IN
SUIT NO.1158 OF 2005

Sai Ganesh Properties Pvt.Ltd.	.. Appealnt
v/s.	
Tate Infotech Ltd. and others	.. Respondents

Mr.Pratap Sampat i/by Mr.Vinod Singh for the appellant.

Mr.Zal Andhyarujina i/by M/s.Mulla & Mulla for the respondent No.1.

Mr.Jaydeep Mitra i/by Mr.R.Dias for the respondent No.2.

Mr.D.M.Thakkar i/by M/s.Pragna Thakkar & Co. for the respondent No.3

Ms.Vishakha Vaswani i/by M/s.V.V.Juris for the respondent No.5.

CORAM : R.M. LODHA &
ANOOP V.MOHTA, JJ.

DATED : 7TH FEBRUARY, 2006.

P.C.

By a separate order passed by us today, we permitted the appellant to amend the cause title and the consequential amendments to the appeal and proceedings with respect to the name and address of respondent No.1. We directed the appellant to carry out the amendment forthwith.

2. The advocate for the appellant, respondent No.2 and respondent No.5 handed in the consent terms. The consent terms are signed by the advocates and marked "X" for identification purposes.

3. We are satisfied with the consent terms as it would be in the interest of both the parties.

4. We are informed by the learned advocates for the respondents that no relief is sought against the respondent Nos.3 and 4.

5. Appeal is disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

The Court Receiver is directed to act on the certified copy or the authenticated copy of the order.

(R.M.LODHA, J.)

